BOARD OF MANUFACTURED HOUSING DEPARTMENT OF FIRE, BUILDING AND LIFE SAFETY

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Phoenix, Arizona September 16, 2009 1:00 p.m.

PREPARED BY:

DOREEN C. BORGMANN, RMR, CRR Certified Reporter Certificate No. 50644

PREPARED FOR:

BOARD OF MANUFACTURED HOUSING DEPARTMENT OF FIRE, BUILDING AND LIFE SAFETY

(Original)



3030 North Central Avenue Suite 1102 Phoenix, Arizona 85012

T 602.264.2230 888.529.9990 F 602.264.2245

www.griffinreporters.com

Г			Page 2
1		AGENDA INDEX	
2	AGENDA IT	TEM:	PAGE:
3	I.	Call to Order	5
4	II.	Roll Call	5
5	III.	Approval of Court Reporter Transcript	
6		and Abbreviated Minutes of May 20,	
7		2009, meeting	6
8		a. Update on new monthly abbreviated	
9		minutes provided by Director	
10	IV.	Financial Report	6
11		a. July 2009 Report as presented by	
12		the Deputy Director	
13	V.	Fee Structure	9
14	VI.	Recovery Fund	12
15		a. Presentation by Director of	
16		Compliance with handout	12
17		b. Presentation by Deputy Director	
18		on HUD "warranty" when a	
19		manufacturer ceases to operate	18
20		c. Update provided by Deputy Directo	r
21		on total interest earned and 75%	
22		of that interest with regard to	
23		educational grants	7
24			
25			

BOARD OF MANUFACTURED HOUSING BOARD MEETING 9/16/09

_			Page 3
1		AGENDA INDEX	
2	AGENDA I	TEM:	PAGE:
3	VII.	95/105 Percent Provision	22
4		a. Presentation by the Deputy	
5		Director	
6	VIII.	AAMHO Education Grant Request	3 4
7	IX.	Call to the Public	4 6
8	х.	Announcements	4 9
9	XI.	Adjournment	50
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

ī	Page 4				
1	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken				
2	before the Board of Manufactured Housing, Department of				
3	Fire, Building and Life Safety Board Meeting held at				
4	1110 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona, on the 16th day				
5	of September, 2009, commencing at the hour of 1:00 p.m.				
6	of the said day. The proceedings were reported by				
7	DOREEN C. BORGMANN, RMR, CRR, and a Certified Reporter				
8	in and for the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.				
9					
10	BOARD MEMBERS:				
11	ROSS E. WAIT, Chairman JOSEPH STEGMAYER				
12	CARLO LEONE CATHERINE McGILVERY				
13	PAUL DeSANCTIS SAMUEL BAIRD				
14	NEAL HANEY ROBERT GIBB				
15					
16	FOR THE DEPARTMENT:				
17	BOB BARGER BETH SOLIERE				
18	DEBRA BLAKE MARYANN KNIGHT				
19					
20	COUNSEL FOR THE BOARD:				
21	CAMILA ALARCON				
22	Assistant Attorney General				
23	ALSO PRESENT: KEN ANDERSON				
24	KEN ANDERSON				
25					

```
Page 5
                    PROCEEDINGS
1
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: All right. I'm going to go ahead
2
   and call the meeting to order.
3
                The second item on the agenda is Roll Call,
4
5
   please.
          MS. KNIGHT: Sam Baird.
6
          MR. BAIRD: Here.
7
          MS. KNIGHT: Paul DeSanctis.
8
9
          MR. DeSANCTIS: Here.
          MS. KNIGHT: Robert Gibb.
10
          MR. GIBB: Here.
11
          MS. KNIGHT: Neal Haney.
12
          MR. HANEY: Here.
13
          MS. KNIGHT: Carlo Leone.
14
15
          MR. LEONE: Here.
          MS. KNIGHT: Catherine McGilvery.
16
          MS. McGILVERY: Here.
17
          MS. KNIGHT: Joseph Stegmayer.
18
          MR. STEGMAYER: Here.
19
          MS. KNIGHT: Ross Wait.
20
21
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Here.
          MS. KNIGHT: And Roger Wendt.
22
          MR. BAIRD: He's not answering.
23
                Are you coming to the Board meeting? Okay.
24
25
   No problem. We'll carry on without you.
```

```
Page 6
         CHAIRMAN WAIT: All right.
1
         MR. BARGER: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. Before we
2
   get started and off the record, I just wanted to let
3
   everybody know that --
4
         MS. ALARCON: We're on the record.
5
         MR. BARGER: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. Let's go.
6
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Continue?
7
         MR. BARGER: Yes. Continue on, please.
8
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay. I would entertain a motion
9
   for approval of the court reporter transcript and the
10
   abbreviated minutes as of May 20, 2009.
11
         MR. HANEY: So moved.
12
         MS. McGILVERY: I'll second it.
13
                                                    All
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Is there any discussion?
14
   those in favor, say "Aye."
                 (All responded "Aye.")
1.6
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Any opposed? Carries the same.
17
18
   Thank you.
                All right. Item Number 4 is Financial
19
   Reports. You all have copies of that in your packet. I
20
   know myself in going through this in detail, I didn't
21
   see anything that really jumped out at me in particular.
22
   Anybody? Neal, please. Somebody's got to take Roger's
23
24
   place.
          MR. HANEY: Thanks a lot. I'm still a little bit
25
```

```
-Page 7 -
   confused on how we're reporting or how we're doing this
1
   interest for the education fund. I mean, I looked down
2
   here, and it says fiscal year '09-'10. Prior year
3
   interest 18,314. So that would actually be interest
4
   from '08-'09; is that correct?
5
         MR. BARGER: Carried over, correct.
6
         MR. HANEY: No, not carried.
7
         MR. BARGER: Well, let me restate that.
8
   doesn't carry over.
9
         MS. BLAKE: It's the interest for fiscal '09.
10
   get really confused with this '07-'08, '08-'09. Fiscal
11
   year '09 that just ended June 30, 2009, the interest
12
   earned for the 2009, the prior year.
13
          MR. HANEY: Oh, through June of 2009.
14
          MS. BLAKE: Uh-huh. Was the 18,314.
15
          MR. BARGER: From July of '08.
16
          MS. BLAKE: Yes.
17
          MR. HANEY: Okay.
18
          MS. BLAKE: What we did add on this, Mr. Chairman
19
   and Board members, is that last little block of
20
   information. Because we've discussed it numerous times
21
   at several Board meetings, if that number that we were
2.2
   reporting to you was, in fact, the 75 percent or if the
23
   number we were reporting was the actual balance. And so
24
   we've added this to help maybe clarify that.
25
```

```
Page 8
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: So right now, there is $25,620.25
1
   available for that use?
2
         MS. BLAKE: That's correct. Having said that, I
3
   do need to tell you that the recovery fund and the
4
5
   educational grants are not separate funds.
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Right.
6
         MS. BLAKE: So all the amount of money, when Beth
7
   gives her update on recovery fund, is the two pots of
8
   money together. They're not separate. So --
9
         CHAIRMAN WAIT: And the recovery fund is about
10
   toast.
11
          MR. BARGER: We'll have an update with Beth.
12
          MS. BLAKE: We'll have an update with Beth later
13
   in the agenda.
14
15
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Right.
          MS. BLAKE: Yes, the 25,620 is the amount that
16
   would be available for educational purposes should you
17
   decide to do so.
18
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay. To clarify one thing,
19
   let's say -- I know we're going to discuss this later
20
   agenda item, but if all of the funds are commingled in
21
   one pot and it's all paid out in the way of claims and
22
   has no money in it, then, obviously, yes, there's money
23
   there to be, quote, used, but there's no greenbacks to
24
25
   back it up.
```

```
Page 9
         MS. BLAKE: Correct.
1
         MR. BARGER: Correct.
2
         CHAIRMAN WAIT: All right. Just wanted to have
3
   that understanding.
4
         MR. HANEY: So this number that we're looking at
5
   here that says 18,314, that's done changing. That won't
6
7
   change again.
         MS. BLAKE: That's a permanent solid number.
8
9
   That doesn't change.
         MR. HANEY: Okay.
10
         MS. BLAKE: It is what it is.
11
         MR. BARGER: Now we're in the '09-'10 cycle;
12
   right? So we'll keep you updated on the moneys
13
   available for the 2010.
14
         MR. HANEY: There won't be for a while.
1.5
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Won't be much.
16
          MR. HANEY: Okay. Thank you.
17
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: All right. Any further
18
   discussion regarding the financial reports?
19
                Okay. Next standing item is Number 5, Fee
20
   Structure. Any comments on that, Bob?
21
          MR. BARGER: There's still a moratorium on
22
23
   fees --
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Right.
24
          MR. BARGER: -- and everything. So if you
25
```

1.8

-Page 10 -

notice, we did talk about change. We didn't change anything in last go-around.

CHAIRMAN WAIT: Yeah. I know that.

MR. BARGER: Just to give you an update as long as we're on fees and the state of the budget, from the legislature and the executive budget, we -- I think everybody was aware of the cuts that we sustained over the past year, which took us from approximately 3.6 down to 2.3 million dollars. We suffered some reduction in force as opposed -- as part of that.

And now upcoming, for the Board's information, the Office of the Governor's Budgeting Office has asked us to prepare an additional 15 and 20 percent budget cuts to be delivered to them within the next 30 days. So the state of the State is not good. So if they even take an additional 15 percent from the Department of Fire, Building and Life Safety, it's going to be quite consequential to this office's operation. If they go into 20 percent, it's --

So we're preparing the documents as requested. We'll send them over. But, again, it's going to have a major impact on our operating abilities for Fire, Building and Life Safety. But as it does for all the state. I mean, all state agencies are having to prepare that, not just us. So what we can do is after

```
-Page 11 <del>-</del>
   we get that and once they make the determination,
   because they're going to make that determination before
2
   the end of the year of possible midyear cuts.
3
                So if we get in that situation and we have
4
   to do midyear cuts, then we'd probably need to have a
5
   meeting with you all to kind of discuss the consequences
6
   of that. And I would see that being a Board meeting
   that's just stating the state of this department and
8
   what we can and can't do. Okay?
9
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay.
10
          MR. DeSANCTIS: Mr. Chairman.
11
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Yes, sir.
12
          MR. DeSANCTIS: So what was the budget that was
13
   submitted? At the 2.3 rate?
14
          MR. BARGER: For next year, the only thing we
15
   could request above that was any addition in rent. And
16
   we did have an increase in rent in this building and one
17
   in our Tucson office also. So we were allowed to submit
18
   for more funds for that. So that was correct.
19
          MR. DeSANCTIS: So a 15 percent cut, 300 --
20
   almost $400,000.
21
22
          MR. BARGER: Yes, sir.
23
          MR. DeSANCTIS: Wow.
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: And that's out of both Fire and
24
   Safety as well as Manufactured Housing?
25
```

```
-Page 12 -
          MR. BARGER: Yes, sir. That's the Department of
 1
   Fire, Building and Life Safety. So the entire
2
   department would have to come up with those proposed
 3
   cuts and have that prepared. Again, now we're down to
 5
   25 days. So --
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay.
 6
          MR. BARGER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 7
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Good luck.
 8
         MR. BARGER: Thank you.
 9
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: All right. Next Item 6, Recovery
10
   Fund, again, another standing item. Bob?
11
          MR. BARGER: You want that one?
12
                I'm going to defer to Beth on that.
13
          MS. SOLIERE: I provided everyone with a handout
14
   that states the status of the consumer recovery fund as
151
   of yesterday, September 15. There's $88,745 available
   within the next couple of months. There will be seven
17
   claims that come up for payment totalling $150,000. So
18
   once again, fund will be depleted.
19
                We are receiving interest into the fund now
20
   from the cash bond fund. And I broke out -- broke it
21
   out into the past three months, what's gone in. So it's
22
   not a lot, but a little bit. There's still the $10
23
   assessment to dealers when they renew their license
24
   that's being put in as well as the $30 fee for each unit
```

```
-Page 13 -
   sold. So -- and then there's four that are waiting for
   a hearing as well.
2
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: 312,000 didn't last long.
3
          MS. SOLIERE: Uh-uh.
4
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: I thought great news when I heard
5
   it, but I said it won't be there long.
6
7
          MS. SOLIERE: Right.
          MR. HANEY: The 145,000 has already been
8
   adjudicated, and it's just waiting on appeal time.
9
          MS. SOLIERE: Yeah. It's just waiting appeal
10
11
   time.
          MR. HANEY: Make the appeal process. Okay.
12
          MS. SOLIERE: Yeah. And those all come up
13
   September, October for payment. So --
14
          MR. BARGER: Mr. Chairman, Board members, our
15
   last meeting, we discussed the cash bond and that
16
   process. And we were successful to GSB and doing some
17
   other things to get a ruling on the fact that that
18
   interest could be transferred over. So that really
19
   helped a lot in that the monthly interest can be
20
   attributed and go into the recovery fund. So that will
21
   help it build up a little faster.
22
                 But we continue to get claims and things.
23
   So the process -- until the entire cycle turns around, I
24
   think that this is probably the mode we're going to be
25
```

```
-Page 14 -
1
   in.
         CHAIRMAN WAIT: Right. For some period of time.
2
         MR. BARGER: Yes.
3
         CHAIRMAN WAIT: Neal.
4
         MR. HANEY: Let's follow up on that. I think we
5
   discussed last time, too, that there were some cash
6
   bonds that had been paid. The people had been out of
7
   business for a long time, and those bonds are still
   being held. And we talked about how long do we have to
9
   hold those before something can be done with them.
10
   What's the status on that?
11
         MR. BARGER: I'm not sure I remember that. Neal,
12
13
   I apologize. But --
          MS. BLAKE: I recall it.
14
         MR. BARGER: Do you have an update on it?
15
          MS. BLAKE: I don't have an update on it.
16
   Because I think Donna, who does our licensing fees and
17
   the bonds, was going to look into that. We talked about
18
   generating letters, try to find those people, if they're
19
   still living, tell them they have this and it's
20
   available, and then make a determination. But I don't
21
   know that we've done that yet.
22
          MS. SOLIERE: And I'll get with Donna on that and
23
   have her look into it.
24
         CHAIRMAN WAIT: Let me come back to one thing.
25
```

```
-Page 15 -
   Last September, there was, like, close to 3,000 in
   interest. Was that the interest on those -- the money
2
   that was in the bond account?
3
         MS. SOLIERE: In September for deposits, the
4
   2009?
5
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Yeah.
 6
         MS. SOLIERE: That would be partially. Since
 7
   we're not all the way through September yet, there will
 8
   probably be more. But it's partially from the $10
   assessments, the $30 fee per unit sold, and some of the
10
11
   interest.
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: And so could you just give me a
12
   ballpark figure what that amount per month is?
13
          MS. SOLIERE: On the interest?
14
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: The $30 bucks, the 10 interest,
15
16
   just a ballpark.
          MS. SOLIERE: There was, let's see -- in July of
17
   '09 there were 296 units sold, so that's about $8,000.
18
   And that's 30 per. We had, in July, 19 dealers that
19
   renewed their license, so $190. And then the rest of
20
   that would be the interest that's coming from the cash
21
22
   bonds.
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: So, I mean, the biggest factor is
23
   how many homes are sold actually. So we're probably
2.4
   talking eight or nine or ten thousand --
25
```

```
Page 16
         MS. SOLIERE: Right.
 1
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: -- if we're lucky.
 2
         MS. SOLIERE: Right.
 3
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: So once this amount of money,
 4
   roughly 150 grand, is paid out, there's, let's say, 90,
 5
   so there's a 60 -- ballpark number, 60,000 shortfall.
 6
   It's going to take seven or eight months probably to pay
 7
   off the balance of those claims. But is there any
 9
   substantial number of claims in the hopper that you're
10
   aware of coming through?
          MS. SOLIERE: That haven't had a hearing yet?
11
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Right.
12
          MS. SOLIERE: They're -- let's see. I've got
13
   one, two -- I've got three that need a hearing. One's
14
   for $8,300. The other three are for -- they're all
15
   pretty low amounts.
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay.
17
          MS. SOLIERE: I haven't seen any that are really
18
         There was one for $120,000 that that one is
19
   included in that 150.
20
21
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay.
          MS. SOLIERE: So most of them are small amounts
22
23 now.
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: That's some good news.
24
25
          MR. BARGER: Yes.
```

```
Page 17 -
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay.
1
         MR. BAIRD: Excuse me. Where does that 120 one
2
   rank as far as the dating?
3
          MS. SOLIERE: In order, the 120, that comes up
4
   for payment on October 12. There's two ahead of him.
5
   One's for 2,200, and one's for 5,500. So he should --
6
   he's going to probably pretty much wipe it out.
7
          MR. HANEY: And they're paid in order time-wise?
8
          MS. SOLIERE: Yeah. Once it's depleted to zero,
9
   then we would start by who filed first.
10
          MR. BAIRD: Do you have a process where we'd
11
   partially pay, or do we wait until it's all there?
12
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: It sits until it's all there?
13
          MS. SOLIERE: Yeah. We would pay them -- we
14
   would wait until it's all there before we pay them their
15
   amount. So --
16
          MR. BAIRD: Mr. Chairman, I do have a question.
17
   The recovery fund, I know if somebody goes out of
18
   business, they've got a bond that's either a cash bond
19
   or they have a bond. What process do we have to attach
20
   those funds, to get those funds back into the recovery
21
   fund to offset any losses?
22
          MS. SOLIERE: We can go after the bond companies
23
24
   for --
25
          MR. BAIRD: Do we?
```

```
-Page 18 -
         MS. SOLIERE: Yes, we do. We've been working on
1
   that, actually, for the past year going after all the
  company -- but usually it's only up to $25,000. So once
3
   we've collected that. There's also a process that they
   have to go through in investigating. So it's not --
5
   they don't just pay it to us right away. Kind of --
6
7
         MR. BAIRD: Sure.
          MS. SOLIERE: -- you know, so -- but we are
8
9
  working on that.
          MR. BAIRD: It does add up, obviously.
10
          MS. SOLIERE: Yeah. We've collected, I think,
11
   already around 300,000 in bonds.
          MR. BAIRD: Okay. So if somebody does go out of
1.3
  business, they still have to maintain that bond for two
14
15
  years?
16
          MS. BLAKE: Two years.
                     Thank you.
          MR. BAIRD:
17
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: The problem was we had that one
18
   dealer who walked away, so to speak, with close to
19
   $900,000. That was the big hit. And it could happen
20
   again tomorrow, and we'd owe 900,000.
                All right. Item B under Recovery Funds,
22
   Presentation by Deputy Director on HUD "warranty" when a
23
   manufacturer ceases to operate.
24
25
         MS. BLAKE: Well, it will be a brief
```

Page 19 -

presentation.

CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay.

MS. BLAKE: What I asked HUD for since the last Board meeting was clarification on something they communicate, and they even communicate it in written form, that says HUD owns the home, a manufactured home, for the life of the home. So kind of vague.

And in the case of when Laurel Creek
manufacturer closed its doors, went out of business,
Arizona Housing Association as well as some others said,
"Okay, what happens if a consumer buys a home from
Laurel Creek, it's a structural defect built into the
home, and there's no manufacturer to make it good?

So I contacted HUD and said, "Okay. How does that work? Because you own it for the life of the home."

Basically, how they've explained that to me is DFBLS has a statute of limitations for consumer complaints, which is one year from the date of purchase or the date of installation, whichever is later. And so during that one-year period, we would act as an agency to work with the manufacturer, the dealer, do an investigation, determine who the responsible parties are, and through our regulatory function make sure that the consumer is made whole, if you will, or that repairs

are corrected.

After that one-year statute of limitations, under our State administrative agency role with HUD, we would then no longer as the DFBLS act on behalf of the consumer, but act on behalf of HUD. So we would still go through the complaint to speed resolution process, get the responsible parties at the table, decide who's going to do what. And that's what HUD means by "we own it for the life of the home."

so the State, even though barred by statute for time, would act on behalf of the consumer with the dealer manufacturer. But in the case of Laurel Creek, if there is no manufacturer, there's nobody to make it good. And so that was HUD's answer. So anyone who bought a Laurel Creek home, comes to us, it is a structural defect, something built into the construction, the option is the bond.

Dealer or manufacturers have -- most of them have a \$100,000 bond. So one home brand new, it might cover the bulk of it. Two or more, not.

So in the case of Laurel Creek, of course, you all know they went into bankruptcy. So other than going after their bond for that two-year period that it's held, there really would not be any other resolution for the consumer.

-Page 21 -CHAIRMAN WAIT: So any payout at all would have 1 2 to come from that bond? 3 MS. BLAKE: Correct. CHAIRMAN WAIT: And would you assist the 4 homeowner in accessing money for the bond, or is that up 5 to the homeowner? 6 MS. BLAKE: No. We would walk them through the 7 process. Because, you know, as the State administrative 8 agency for HUD, we'll still go through the process of 9 inspecting, making a determination if it was due to the 10 setup of the home, is the installer responsible. If the 11 installer is responsible, were they part of the purchase 12 contract making the dealer responsible? 13 Because the only way you can get, as you 14 know, to the recovery fund is through the dealer. You 15 can't get to it from a manufacturer's failure to perform 16 or an installer's. You have to go to their bond. 17 CHAIRMAN WAIT: So the HUD warranty is for the 18 life of the home or until the bond runs out, actually. 19 MS. BLAKE: Yeah. 20 MR. BARGER: Yes. 21 CHAIRMAN WAIT: Or two years out. Okay. 22 2.3 MS. BLAKE: Yes. CHAIRMAN WAIT: Like other lifetime warranties 24 I've heard about. Thank you very much. 25

```
Page 22
         MS. BLAKE: You're welcome.
1
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Well, I guess you're on next
2
3
   also.
                      I am on next, and we've already
         MS. BLAKE:
4
   talked about that, and that was on that financial
5
   presentation where we've added that educational fund,
   what 75 percent of that is.
7
          MR. BAIRD: Excuse me. This 88,000 that's been
8
   reported, does that include the $25,620?
9
         MS. BLAKE: Yes. It's commingled money. It's
10
11
   all one pot.
          MR. BAIRD: I thought so.
12
          MS. BLAKE: Yeah.
13
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: All right. Any further
14
   discussion on those items? Okay. 7. 95 to 105 percent
15
   provision, another standing item again.
16
          MR. BARGER: I will cover that one.
17
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay. Very good.
18
          MR. BARGER: And what this office has been trying
19
   to do in the past, I think, is we've been trying through
20
   the meetings to reconcile this on a monthly basis. And
21
   when you read this statute and the way the program was
22
   designed was you all meet in May to set fees. That's
23
   when we would give you an update in arrears on what the
2.4
   percentage was of the industry so that you can
25
```

Page 23 -

appropriately for the next upcoming year decide whether you need to up or lower the fees. And we've been trying to kind of do this.

And I know it's -- this report here that you have has 84 percent on it. We're going to stop reporting that on this sheet because it's too hard. Because when you try and do it monthly, there's outstanding invoices and this and that. We will track it internally, and if any time anybody has a question on where we're at, we'll track that for you. We'll probably do a midyear update and say this is -- for the past six months, this is where the fees are. This is where the percentages are. Because I also believe by statute that you have the ability to go in and adjust the fees during the year if you need to.

So it's one of those things where, you know, within -- as you well know, we've being going up and down with this every time we meet about the 95/105 percent provision. So -- and, again, the way the statute reads -- and I didn't pull it up real quick -- but it reads it will be done on an annual basis before you set the fees.

So I think that will make it a little bit more accurate and more easy for us to identify. And, again, if anybody has any questions, all you have to do

BOARD OF MANUFACTURED HOUSING BOARD MEETING 9/16/09 Page 24 is call and we can research where we're at as far as in arrears from the new fiscal year of July, the beginning, 2 to whatever point we're at and try to give you a 3 determination of where we're at. 4 Again, the difficulty in that is because we 5 invoice. Some people pay so much -- some people may not 6 pay in the same month they're invoiced. It may carry 7 over to the next month. There may be issues that go 8 back and forth. So it's made it very difficult for us 9 to try and resolve those issues that way. 10 But I think we're going to go by what the 11 statute says. We will do an annual update to let you 12 know what it's been for the past year so that you can 13 accurately make a judgment on whether to you want to up 14 or lower the fees for the next year. 15 CHAIRMAN WAIT: Do you feel real comfortable with 1.6 the allocation of funds between manufactured housing and 17 the other department? Don't you allocate the expenses 18 between the two departments? Or is it all one? 19 MR. BARGER: Yes. We're a lump sum budget. So 20 we have to allocate percentages to --21 22 CHAIRMAN WAIT: Right. MR. BARGER: -- to the agency --23

CHAIDMAN WATE. And you do it --

24

25

CHAIRMAN WAIT: And you do it --

MR. BARGER: -- to the department, to the Office

```
Page 25 ·
   of Manufactured Housing and the Office of the State Fire
   Marshal --
2
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Right.
3
          MR. BARGER: -- and the Office of Administration.
4
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: And you're comfortable with that
5
   allocation?
6
          MR. BARGER: Currently, yes. And what we're
7
   having to do with the budgeting processes and everything
8
   else is we are actually working with the --
9
                What is Michelle Brooks --
10
          MS. SOLIERE: Oh, CSP.
11
          MR. BARGER: CSP. I'm sorry. We're transferring
12
   a lot of the funds in the department because of
13
   budgeting cuts and constraints and what we have on us to
14'
   CSP. So we -- and I have a meeting with them next week
15
   to identify exactly how our budget's laid out and how we
   operate the different offices within the Department of
17
   Fire, Building and Life Safety. So there may be some
18
   restructuring of that.
1.9
                 So, again, based on the budget, where we're
20
   at, what we may or may not get, things like that,
   there's going to be some more pain for our department.
22
   So I'm meeting with them for them to kind of take over
23
   some of the rolls of the budgeting and allocation
24
25
   functions.
```

Page 26 -CHAIRMAN WAIT: But the dollar amounts that are 1 showing in here are with the allocations included; is 2 that correct? The allocation? 3 MS. BLAKE: In this financial report? 4 CHAIRMAN WAIT: Yes. Uh-huh. 5 MS. BLAKE: No. This is OMH. 6 CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay. 7 MR. BARGER: Strictly OMH. 8 CHAIRMAN WAIT: But, again, it's OMH, but you're 9 saying that the pool that comes through the door, you 10 allocate it among the three departments; correct? 11 MR. BARGER: That's the way it was up until last 12 year. Because the fire marshal's office was strictly an 13 appropriated office. 14 CHAIRMAN WAIT: Uh-huh. 15 MR. BARGER: Now, they have started -- we were 16 successful through statute through our writing to adopt 17 fees for the Fire Marshal's Office. And that's why 181 we're going to have to reallocate some of these 19 functions and things. Because up to this time I think 20 we're -- the Fire Marshal's Office is bringing in about 21 \$700,000 through fees. So we're going to be able to 22 kind of lighten up the load across the board as far as 23 the fees go in. But as you all know, everything that we 24

collect goes to the general fund --

25

CHAIRMAN WAIT: Correct.

MR. BARGER: -- with the exception of the relocation and recovery. Everything else goes to the general fund, and they reappropriate back to us the moneys that they want to give us. So even if we were to bring in four million dollars over the course of the year through fees through the Fire Marshal's Office and Manufactured Housing, they would still possibly just appropriate us 2.3 million dollars of that.

So at one point you start to have the discussion start looking at is the better for us to keep it functioning as a stand-alone or a non-appropriated agency. So those are things that have to be looked at here in the future. That's how we do it. Right now, there's not enough fees coming in from either side to cover the deficit.

But so I guess my answer to your question is at the next meeting I'll be able to give you more information on exactly how we're going to readjust because of the fees coming into the Fire Marshal's Office. And, again, we do -- they give us a lump sum. We give them things through different processes. It goes into the lump sum general budget or the general fund. So that money that we all bring in could be reappropriated to any other agency in the state.

CHAIRMAN WAIT: Uh-huh.

2.1

MR. BARGER: So those are things and those are upcoming discussions that we're going to have through the budgeting process as we go through the 15 and 20 percent cuts as to what is the actual impact of the Department of Fire, Building and Life Safety and then the Manufacturing Housing and State Fire Marshal's Office on the general fund.

In other words, we bring in this much money. You give us this much money. So we're offset. So are we actually just being appropriated \$700,000 to operate out of that fund? So through that process -- and there are some departments that are even 90/10. We'll bring in 90 percent if you give us 10 percent to function and operate. So there are some things that we need to look at as we go through the next year.

I don't know where that's going to go if you go to a 20 percent cut across the board for all State agencies. I don't think it really matters how much you bring in. Because that's how bad the deficit is with the State right now.

CHAIRMAN WAIT: Just a ballpark figure, what percentage has the department's budget been cut already?

MR. BARGER: It's over 25 percent. 28 percent, I think, is what our cut was. Because we had to do -- in

-Page 29 the last budget round, we had to do five, ten, and 15, 1 2 and, I think, 20 percent cuts. We gave them our 20 percent cut. And it was a little bit over that that we 3 ended up losing. So we've gone from 3.9. Then we went 4 to 3.6. Now we're at 2.3 million to operate under the 5 next budget. With that -- again, keeping that in mind, 6 additional 10 or 15 or 20 percent coming up over the 7 next month or so. So that's -- and like I said, it's going to 9 make it tough to function. So we all have to possibly 10 -- and I may be calling you to get an emergency meeting 11 of the Board depending on how severe their cuts are. 12 Y'all can kind of get together and take a look at what 13 the status of the Office of Manufactured Housing is. 14 CHAIRMAN WAIT: So if you're at 28 percent 15 already and they do another 20 percent off what you 16 have, you're going to be probably close to 40 percent 17 overall? 18 MR. BARGER: Probably close to --19 CHAIRMAN WAIT: Ballpark? 20 21 MR. BARGER: There may be one of us left to open the front door. 22 CHAIRMAN WAIT: He's all paid. 23 MR. HANEY: But no lights. 24 25 MR. BARGER: And I'm sorry to present it that

2.1

Page 30

way, but, I mean, that's the severity of where we're at right now. I mean, we've had discussions with them over there. But, again, because they're looking at a three to four billion dollar deficit, that's doesn't really impact.

CHAIRMAN WAIT: Billion. Billion.

MR. BARGER: Billion. So then as you all are aware, there's still issues right now with the current budget. We haven't been allocated everything that we're supposed to have because we were caught up in the part that was vetoed here. So we're just kind of living here. They haven't kicked us out yet. And we don't really have money for our people. So we're in the position where we have to wait and see if they cycle around in a special session and can resolve the rest of the budgeting issues as far as the tax increase and going through the voters and those kinds of things. So we're one of those agencies that was kind of caught up in that.

CHAIRMAN WAIT: So is this your rallying speech for the sales tax increase?

MR. BARGER: No. I'm not going to go there.

CHAIRMAN WAIT: No, I know you're not.

MR. BARGER: But that is one of the things that is holding up the budgeting process, is the stalemate

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
BOARD OF MANUFACTURED HOUSING BOARD MEETING 9/16/09
                                                   Page 31 ·
between the two as far as that issue goes. So, again,
we're just -- we're showing up and doing the best we
can.
      CHAIRMAN WAIT: Good.
      MR. HANEY: Mr. Chairman?
       CHAIRMAN WAIT: Yes, sir.
      MR. HANEY: I'm not sure. I either didn't
understand your question originally or didn't understand
the answer. But when we look at the expenses for the
month of July, I think -- yeah. Got 85,993.
includes the expenses directly associated with the
Office of Manufactured Housing. Does that or does that
not include also a pro rata share of the Office of
Administration?
       MR. BARGER: It does, because there's a legal
licensing function that falls under the Office of
Administration.
       MR. HANEY: Okay. That's --
       CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay? Any other questions at
this meeting of nothing but good news.
```

MR. BAIRD: This 95/105, how does that play into this? Sounds like that just kind of went out, and now we're just worrying about a different budget. I mean --

MR. BARGER: Well, the 95/105, the Board is required to set fees on an annual basis to where all the

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 32

expenditures taken out of the income that's made through the Office of Manufactured Housing has to be in a range — the difference has to be in a range of 95 to 105 of the total operation. So in statute it sets at 95 to 105. If it's below that, then you have to raise the fees to bring it up. If it's above that, you have to lower the fees to bring it down. And that's set in statute.

But it's not set in statute that the Board has to go through a rule-making or rule-writing to do that. Most agencies have to go through rule-writing to adjust the fees. It says that it just has to be between that function. So the process in that being so -- and, again, one of the concerns we'd have about raising fees above -- even if it were to come, say, okay, we need to raise fees to bring in more money, we still can't go above the 105 on a regular basis. I mean, there's going to be times where the year before you may have gone over that. But then again, that's the report that we give you. Say, we were at 112 last year. You have to adjust the fees down. But I don't think there's really a mandate that says you have to bring them down. It just says that you need to try to maintain between 95 and 105.

CHAIRMAN WAIT: I remember seven or eight years

ago we could have cut them to zero.

MR. BARGER: Good old days.

CHAIRMAN WAIT: Yeah. The golden days. And then right now, to compound it is there's a moratorium. You can't do anything.

MR. BARGER: Right. There's a moratorium across the board on everything, on raising fees, anything that would impact private industry or private businesses or companies to operate or function in the State of Arizona. So there's a moratorium on any rule-writing right now. We couldn't even go to rule to look at possibly changing anything even if it were to adopt a new HUD code or anything else. There's just a moratorium on everything.

So that's how -- did I answer your question?

MR. BAIRD: Yes. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay. Any further discussion on the 95/105?

All right. Next item on the agenda is should AAMHO get funds or the people who live in the park get funds? No. Excuse me. Anyway, there is a request for educational use from AAMHO, a \$5,000 request. Do you want to address that?

MS. BLAKE: Mr. Chairman, may I --

Page 34 -CHAIRMAN WAIT: Sure. 1 MS. BLAKE: -- go ahead? And Catherine, Board 2 3 members. CHAIRMAN WAIT: Yes, please. 4 MS. BLAKE: This AAMHO request came to you at the 5 6 last Board meeting. CHAIRMAN WAIT: Right. 7 MS. BLAKE: And it's summarized in the 8 abbreviated minutes as well as in the transcript. I put 9 it back on the agenda this time, not to beat a dead 10 horse, but just because on the monthly financial summary 11 report, we now have factual information for you about 12 the 75 percent that was available for educational 1.3 14 purposes. I'll just remind you that at the last Board 15 meeting you voted that if the actual interest earned in 16 the year '07-'08 was \$24,000, that you would approve it. 17 As it breaks down and you refer to your financial 18 report, the amount available in '07-'08 was actually 19 just under \$5,000. Subsequent year, that was brought up 2.0 to almost 12,000. 21 So the moneys weren't there based on the 22 way you voted last time. But I just wanted to give you 23 that information again along with what Beth reported as 24 the status of the recovery and let you address it one 25

```
-Page 35 -
1
   more time.
2
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay. Thank you.
          MS. BLAKE: You're welcome.
3
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Neal, sounds like you want to
4
5
   talk.
          MR. HANEY: No. I'll wait. I'll wait.
6
         MS. McGILVERY: Sorry. I don't have anything to
7
       I really don't.
8
   sav.
         MR. HANEY: Okay. Well, Roger's not here. My
9
   recollection -- and Debra's right -- is that in looking
10
   at the numbers that we had at the last time we met and
11
   reviewed this discussion, in order for us to have
12
   available in the recovery fund the education set aside
131
   for that, we would have to have earned $24,000 in
14
   interest because of the expenditures we've already made
15
   from the education fund. And in looking at this, we
16
17
   fall a little bit short of that.
                And I don't have the numbers from our last
18
   meeting, but my recollection is that in order for us to
19
   make any more expenditures, we would have had to have
20
   had at least $24,000 in interest income just to meet the
21
   basics of being able to fund it. And we didn't quite
23
   get there.
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Comments, Catherine?
24
          MS. McGILVERY: Well, there's no money. We
25
```

```
still -- can we just carry on like -- would our request
   go in line along with the other requests from the fund?
2
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: No.
 3
          MS. McGILVERY: We have to request again?
 4
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Well, I guess you have a request
 5
   on the table. It's up to this Board to decide that --
 6
          MR. HANEY: I don't think we have -- you know,
 7
   and I don't have the numbers that we had last year. But
 8
   at our last meeting we looked at money that had already
   been expended based on the interest. And moneys had
10
   already been expended, leaving nothing in order for us
11
   to have any moneys. For anybody to have any education
12
   grants, we would have had to have earned $24,000 in
13
   interest in fiscal '08-'09.
          MS. BLAKE: I have those numbers for you if you'd
15
   like me to share them from the last Board meeting.
16
          MR. HANEY: Okay.
17
          MS. BLAKE: What was reported to you at the May
18
   20 Board meeting was that in the fiscal year '07-'08,
   there was a balance in the fund for education of $2,679.
20
   That's been updated on the current one, but that is
21
   actually $4,500. So it's considerably more, but not
22
   quite there.
23
          MR. HANEY: So the bottom line is that the
24
```

moneys -- the set-aside for educational grants has

25

```
Page 37
   already been expended. Is that not correct?
1
         MS. BLAKE: Well, I'm not sure that it's already
2
   been expended. But what I remember from the last Board
3
   meeting, that through your Board discussion, you decided
4
   that as long as there were outstanding claims against
5
   the recovery fund, that those people needed to be paid
6
   first. Once all the claims were paid and it built up,
7
   then that could be a future decision of do you go ahead
8
   and give grants for education, or do you let that fund
9
   build up, anticipating future recovery fund claims. And
10
   I believe you decided pay consumers first.
11
          MR. HANEY: I don't think that was a formal
12
   motion or anything. But that was the consensus --
13
          MS. BLAKE: I don't think so either. It was your
14
15
   discussion.
          MR. HANEY: The consensus of the discussion.
16
17
          MS. BLAKE: Yes.
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: But to clarify what you just
18
   said, is there 45 -- if this Board chose to pay
19
   consumers first, could they vote to have $4,500
20
   distributed? I'm just asking that question.
21
          MS. BLAKE: You mean as far as stand in line in
22
   the recovery fund for that?
23
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: No.
24
```

MS. BLAKE: I don't think the statute supports

25

```
-Page 38 -
   that. But if you're saying could we pay the 4,500,
1
   that's up to you to decide.
2
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Yes.
3
         MS. BLAKE: Currently, the money is there to do
 4
5
   that.
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Right. And there is nothing
 6
   statutorily that says we have to pay the consumer first.
7
         MS. BLAKE: No, there's not. That's your
8
9
   decision.
         CHAIRMAN WAIT: That would be our decision. I'm
10
   just trying to get all -- I'm not trying to support
11
   either position. I just want us to all to understand
12
   that whatever decision we make, we understand why we're
13
   making it. Anyway --
14
          MS. McGILVERY: I'm still thinking.
15
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Anyway, I guess we still have
16
   this request sitting here. It didn't meet the
17
   requirements. We had that discussion at the last Board
18
   meeting. Is there anybody that wants to make a motion
19
   or move forward with this or let it die for lack of a
20
21
   motion?
          MS. McGILVERY: If the motion were made, it would
22
   be to grant $4,500?
23
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: I believe.
24
          MR. BARGER: Up to what the request was.
25
```

```
Page 39 -
   Anything up to the $5,000 request.
 1
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay. So you could go to $5,000
 2
   if you chose to and not the 4,500?
 3
          MR. BARGER: Uh-huh.
 4
 5
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay.
          MS. McGILVERY: How can we do that if we only
 6
   have 4,500 there?
 7
          MS. BLAKE: Well, maybe I can help or try to
 8
 9
   help.
          MS. McGILVERY: Explain to me.
10
          MS. BLAKE: Currently, the amount of money
11
   available for you to grant an educational fund is
12
13
   $25,620.
          MR. HANEY: That doesn't jibe at all with the
14
   numbers with looked at last time.
15
          MS. BLAKE: This is the sheet you've been given
16
   today where it's been clarified, verified.
17
          MR. HANEY: I know. I'm just saying it's real
18
   hard for me to sit here and hear the last month we were
19
   saying the money we've already expended based on prior
20
   fiscal year's interest and things like that, we did not
21
   have it, and now to say, you know, that that amount
22
   that's set aside statutorily is, if the funds were
23
   available, is now $25,600.
24
                 Because it was at zero two years ago.
25
```

2.2

Every dime in there that had been allocated as educational grant money had been expended between the various associations that used it. It had been at zero. And so the only thing we had to work with was interest from a couple of fiscal years.

MS. BLAKE: I can't speak to how it was calculated.

MR. HANEY: I know what this is saying. I'm just saying it's not jibing at all with the numbers we've look at over the last two or three years.

MS. BLAKE: I think there was some confusion about how it was calculated. And so through several Board meetings and the question being asked, we went back and reconciled it. Independently as well, reconciled it. Because we know the amount of interest.

We know what the fund's balance was at the end of fiscal '07. And we know that 75 percent of that interest that we brought in, we had Central Services

Bureau accounting go through this with us. We know that the interest earned was the amount that we've calculated here, 15,000 for fiscal '07-'08.

The Board granted \$13,000 in educational grants off of that money, which left a remaining balance of the prior year interest of \$6,079. How much of that is still available carrying forward --

```
Page 41
         MR. HANEY: All right. Okay. Just looking at
1
   that, prior year interest, 15,679. 75 percent of that
2
   is available for education grants; is that correct?
3
4
         MS. BLAKE: Yes.
         MR. HANEY: So 75 percent of, say, 16,000 is
5
   12,000. We expended 13,000.
6
7
         MS. BLAKE: Well, had you a rollover from the
   prior fiscal year, don't forget. You roll it over just
8
   like this rolling total that I'm showing you.
9
         MR. HANEY: I understand. I understand that.
10
         MS. BLAKE: Okay.
11
         MR. HANEY: But I also know that probably three
12
   years ago, it had been taken down to zero.
1.3
          MS. BLAKE: I can't speak to that. I wasn't here
14
   then, and I didn't see those numbers.
15
         MR. HANEY: But even so, if you had a rollover of
16
   $2,000 and you had 12,000 in interest and you expended
17
   13,000, it doesn't leave you 4,500.
18
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Could we in the future take this
19
   another step forward, how many dollars are remaining
2.0
   that could go out?
21
          MR. HANEY: It's not jibing with anything we've
22
   looked at. And even just looking at those numbers, it's
23
24
   just not jibing.
          MS. BLAKE: What I can offer to do is to take it
25
```

```
back a few more years and see how far we can go back.
   Because I don't know about it ever being brought down to
2
   zero. I'm not disputing it. But I don't know that.
3
   And so there tends to be, according to the data that I
4
   was given, an unused portion every year that was not
5
   granted for education that rolls over into the next
6
7
   year.
          MR. HANEY: Because my recollection is that AAMHO
8
   -- was it four years ago, three years ago? -- came in
9
   with a request, and all we could do was give what was
10
   available at that time, and it wasn't the amount of the
11
12
   full request.
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Three years ago.
13
          MR. HANEY: That was three years ago.
14
          CHAIRMAN WAIT:
                          Three years ago.
15
          MS. McGILVERY: That was when Neal -- Eric was in
16
   charge. We got it in two parts. We got 2,000 one time,
17
   and then we got 2,000 another time.
18
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Five years ago.
19
          MR. HANEY: Really?
20
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Yeah. Time flies when you're
21
   having fun.
22
          MR. HANEY: I guess. You know, and that's my
```

recollection, is that at one time we did that because

there wasn't anything yet available. And now we're

23

24

25

Page 43

saying that, in spite of \$18,000 in expenditures, we still have 25,000 available. The numbers to me just ballpark aren't making sense.

MR. BARGER: Mr. Chairman, Neal, let us go back and do some more research on it.

CHAIRMAN WAIT: Sure.

MR. BARGER: I think for the sake of what we're talking about today for the AAMHO request, keep in mind that we try to separate this out of a lump sum number with the CSB and those kinds of things as far as what is actually available. But please keep in mind that it's not actually a separate fund. It's all part of the big ballpark. So whatever you take out of that, if you were to grant the thing, what that does is take \$5,000 out of the total that's left in the recovery fund.

CHAIRMAN WAIT: Well, I think there's two pieces of this, in my opinion. I think we ought to have a clear understanding what is available perhaps. And you've done a great job, to be honest with you. But maybe we can go back and get that clarified. Maybe we need something that says here's the funds then available, here's what's gone out, here's what you have the authority or you could allocate out for education regardless who that request is. But I think -- so that's one request I have.

```
-Page 44 -
                Then Number 2 is that I believe both of you
1
   have indicated that if this Board made the decision
2
   today on this $5,000 request, that it could; is that
3
 4
   correct?
          MS. BLAKE: Yes.
 5
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay. So we have two pieces. So
 6
   now the question is, because this has been on our plate
 7
   now for six, eight months, nine months, I think we ought
8
   to determine what we're going to do with the AAMHO
   request. Or it dies for lack of a motion and says
10
11
   good-bye.
          MS. McGILVERY: Can I make a motion?
12
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Oh, any -- you're a Board member.
13
          MS. McGILVERY: Oh, okay. Well, I would like to
14
   make a motion then, as long as the funds are there, that
15
   we give AAMHO their $5,000 grant.
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: She's already said they're there.
17
          MS. McGILVERY: Yes. I would so move.
18
          MR. DeSANCTIS: I second.
19
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay. We have a first and a
20
   second. Is there any discussion?
          MR. BAIRD: I just want to be clear.
22
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Yes.
23
          MR. BAIRD: So if we pay this $5,000 out --
24
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Comes out of that 88.
25
```

interest.

24

25

MS. McGILVERY: Okay. But the motion itself can

```
Page 46 ·
   still stand?
          MS. ALARCON: Well, somebody else would need to
2
   make the motion.
3
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: No. Someone else needs to make
4
5
   it.
          MR. DeSANCTIS: I will make the motion --
 6
7
          MS. ALARCON: Okay.
          MR. DeSANCTIS: -- that if the funds are
8
   available, then we're going to go broke no matter what.
9
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Is that part of your motion?
101
          MR. DeSANCTIS: Pretty much. That it shouldn't
11
   stop everything from the education or whatever.
12
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay. Is there a second? Well,
13
   being no second, the motion dies.
14
                All right. The next item on the agenda is
15
   call to the public. Ken.
16
          MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman and members of the
17
   Board, I just have a request for two future agenda items
18
   to be possibly added. They're both relative to the
19
20
   recovery fund.
                One item, I would like to see if perhaps I
21
   could be designated as an interested party when cases go
22
   to hearing so I get notice, and I can do research and
23
   listen in on the hearing officer's decision. Because,
24
   normally, a retailer is not there to represent any --
25
```

that side of the industry.

The second item would be to address the Board with an escrow account possibility. I've worked for the last two months with the industry members, of which we have 192, and have received consensus on the industry wishing to go forward with an escrow process.

Now, we have yet to contact AAMHO and MHCA, but we would be -- before the next meeting if it gets on the agenda, we would be contacting them to see if we can get buy-in from them as well.

Our lobbyist, Scott Butler, has indicated that in the statutes there is language that can be changed, obviously with the help of the AG. We would hope to change existing statutes to allow for more enforcement and prosecution in the event of fraud.

We would ultimately look at -- it's going to take quite a bit of time before something like this would happen. But we would think with cooperation and your -- the Board's endorsement, we would be able to get it done within one legislative session.

CHAIRMAN WAIT: Okay. I don't have a problem with that. I support what you're saying. And I'd like to see that put on future agenda items. And obviously it's going to have to be looked at from a legal standpoint. But, no, I would like to see that

another issue to look at the bonding requirements for

our licensees. I think that needs to --

24

25

2.0

CHAIRMAN WAIT: Yes. I understand. We had a meeting in June on that very topic. All the topics that were just discussed, including what you've just stated, is the bond requirements. Because I've been a champion of that for a long time.

MS. BLAKE: And Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, bonding is typically a standing item on your agenda. It was not on this agenda because of the moratorium on raising fees, raising bonds. We put out a request asking if we could just go ahead and remove it. There's nothing to discuss at this point. And so we opted to do that. But to your question, it typically is an agenda item.

MR. BAIRD: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WAIT: So we'll have those items -- I guess there were four of them -- which includes bonding and the other that Ken had mentioned for our next meeting.

Okay. Ken is the only public. Okay.

Future meeting date. Unless Bob calls us in early or something, which I hope he doesn't. Because that would not necessarily be good news. So our next scheduled date is -- is it December? November?

MS. BLAKE: Typically January.

CHAIRMAN WAIT: January?

```
-Page 50 -
         MS. BLAKE: I mean, it would normal fall in
1
2
   December.
          MR. BAIRD: Every four months.
 3
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: It's a little bit erratic.
 4
          MR. HANEY: Always in May. Usually in September.
 5
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: Only one you can count on.
 6
          MS. BLAKE: First week in May.
 7
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: We learned that recently.
 8
          MS. BLAKE: Yes. We learned that last meeting,
 9
10
   yes.
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: So it is September 16. Look at
11
   December or January?
12
          MS. BLAKE: Uh-huh.
13
          MR. HANEY: I would suggest January.
14
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: January. So what date?
15
          MR. BARGER: It would be the 20th.
16
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: 20th?
17
          MR. BARGER: It would be the third Wednesday.
18
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: 2010. Okay. Anything further in
19
   discussion on the date?
20
                I'd entertain a motion to adjourn.
21
          MR. HANEY: So moved.
22
          MR. BAIRD: Second.
23
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: All those in favor.
24
                 (All stated "Aye.")
25
```

```
-Page 51 -
          CHAIRMAN WAIT: All right. Thank you everyone
1
   for being here. Thank you very much.
2
                  (The proceedings concluded at 2:00 p.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Г	Page 52 —
1	STATE OF ARIZONA)
2) SS. COUNTY OF MARICOPA)
3	CERTIFICATE
4	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken
5	before me, DOREEN C. BORGMANN, RMR, CRR, Certified
6	Reporter, Certificate No. 50644, State of Arizona; that
7	the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and
8	thereafter reduced to computer print under my direction;
9	that the foregoing 51 pages constitute a true and
10	correct transcript of all proceedings had upon the
11	taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my
12	skill and ability.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any
14	of the parties hereto, nor am I in any way interested in
15	the outcome hereof.
16	DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 19th day of
17	September, 2009.
18	
19	
20	DOREEN C. BORGMANN, RMR, CRR
21	Certified Reporter Certificate No. 50644
22	
23	
24	
25	